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**Broad Objectives**

- Support economy and credit provision
- Preserve financial stability and healthy and sound banking system
- Maintain international framework
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**Facilitate public and private policy measures – Minimize moral hazard**

- Adjust regulation to reflect government support
- Facilitate restructuring of loans
- Provide guidance on the treatment of moratoria
- Relax large exposures and concentration limits
- Lack of exit strategy
- Disincentivize borrowers to resume payment

**Provide guidance on asset classification and provisioning – Maintain transparency**

- Guidance on loan classification, provisioning and disclosure
- Revise automatic reclassification for restructured loans
- Defer the impact of ECL provisioning on regulatory capital
- Freezing the classification status of creditors
- Extend the number of past due days for provision/classification
- Relief of mark-to-market valuations.
Measures related to banks' loans and financial assets (1)

- Supervisors should encourage prudent loan restructuring

- Prudential considerations and implications of some government announced measures: Loan payment moratorium, credit guarantees, etc.

- Guidance on Asset Classification and Provisioning: Need to uphold applicable standards while taking into account the heightened uncertainty about the crisis impact

- Views on Mark-to-Market relief measures

Supervisors should encourage prudent loan restructuring

- Restructuring could take many forms (changes in payment terms and maturities, collateral requirements, interest rates and fees, etc.)

- By providing a grace period, banks can help borrowers manage the temporary impact of Covid-19 crisis on their business and minimize their own losses

- Existing rules provide flexibility to make a difference between short-term payment challenges and more serious LT solvency issues faced by borrowers

BCBS Guidelines on the Prudential Treatment of Problem Assets—Definition of Nonperforming Exposures and Forbearance
Prudential considerations and implications of some government or sector-wide measures (1)

General payment moratoria

- Moratoria is a useful tool in a large exogenous shock but can involve moral hazard.
- Therefore, it is key that the moratorium is short lived, well targeted, and transparent.
- If governments consider official moratoria useful in their specific circumstances, several elements should be considered to mitigate risks to financial stability:
  - Authorities should avoid blanket moratoria
  - The declaration of debt moratoria should not come from supervisors as it may conflict with the supervisor’s safety and soundness mandate
  - The moratorium should not remove the obligations for banks to carefully assess the credit quality of exposures benefiting from restructuring measures
  - Banks and supervisors should continue to closely monitor credit portfolios

Prudential considerations and implications of some government or sector-wide measures (2)

Exposures covered by sovereign guarantees

- The relevant sovereign risk weight should be assigned to the exposure covered by the guarantee
- The existence of any credit risk mitigation should not exempt banks from performing the assessment of the borrower’s unlikeliness to pay
- While the guarantee may limit the losses for the bank should the borrower default, it does not affect the payment capabilities of the borrower
Guidance on Asset Classification and Provisioning (1)

Prudential (and regulatory reporting) dimension

- Loan classification and loan provisioning rules should **not be relaxed** (moral hazard and transparency issues).
- Banks should assess the capacity of repayment **under the revised terms of the contract**
  - Impact on the NPV? Loans subject to payment deferrals are **not** defaulted assets
  - Forbearance measure?
- Appropriate supervisory monitoring is needed

BCBS Guidance on Measures to Reflect the Impact of COVID-19

Guidance on Asset Classification and Provisioning (2)

Accounting dimension

- Whether restructured or not, loans could become **non-performing** (Stage 3 under IFRS 9) and would require provisioning
- Some **performing** loans may also require higher allowances if:
  - Loans are moved between categories due to a significant increase in credit risk (loans moved to Stage 2)
  - ECL parameters are revised to reflect deteriorated economic conditions
- **Guidance** should be provided by supervisors
Guidance on Asset Classification and Provisioning (3)

Accounting dimension (cont’d)

- Current uncertainty poses challenges to the reliable estimation of losses.
- When limited information is available, supervisors should provide banks enough time to assess whether borrowers are able to meet their obligations.
- The forecasted cash flows of the loans should reflect banks’ best estimate of the economic conditions that may exist over the remaining life of the assets.
- Supervisors should explain to banks and auditors their views on the range of economic scenarios to consider in determining losses.

Guidance on Asset Classification and Provisioning (4)

Accounting dimension (cont’d)

- Banks should take into account the implications of any supporting mechanism provided by governments and the expected nature of the shock.
- Banks should not apply the standard mechanistically and should use the flexibility inherent in IFRS 9, for example to give due weight to long-term economic trends.
- Relief measures such as repayment holidays or public guarantees should not automatically be a sufficient condition to move borrowers into Stage 2 ECL.
Mark-to-Market Relief Measures

- Important to maintain the confidence in the banking sector by ensuring that marked-to-market losses are not hidden:
  - “Mark-to-market relief” undermines transparency and market discipline
  - Changes to the capital framework aiming to filter potential negative impact also weakens the regulatory framework
  - When market illiquidity prevents the use of market prices, supervisors should carefully monitor banks’ approach for asset valuation

- Measurement and classification of financial assets are governed by accounting rules. Supervisors should ensure that banks observe the criteria for reclassification

Lending measures

(Y-axis: percentage of countries (of a sample of 52) that adopted measures per May 1, 2020)
Agenda

- Objectives of the Regulatory and Supervisory Response
- Measures related to banks’ loans and financial assets
- **Impact of the crisis on banks’ regulatory ratios (capital and liquidity)**
- Overall Supervisory Response to the crisis
- Key Takeaways and Conclusion
Use embedded flexibility and uphold minimum standards

- Encourage use of capital and liquidity buffers
- Ease macroprudential measures
- Suspend capital distributions

- Relax capital req. that were above Basel standards
- Reduction of capital conservation buffer (ratio)
- Ease of liquidity requirements

- Reduce minimum risk weights (SMEs / specific sectors)
- Reduce capital requirements below international minima
- Facilitate capital distributions

Standard setting bodies

- SSBs have issued guidance to support national authorities in their efforts to provide well-coordinated policy measures.
  - Provide technical guidance on measures needed to mitigate risks and vulnerabilities from Covid-19 (e.g. treatment of government support measures)
  - Ensure that capital markets continue to function in an open and orderly manner
  - Set out financial stability implications of the pandemic and policy measures taken to address them
  - Provide guidance on how the pandemic affects accounting for ECL
  - Provide the steps to address impact of the pandemic on the insurance sector
Regulatory response to the crisis – Capital (1)

Available capital buffers should be used:
- Capital conservation buffer
- When already activated, countercyclical capital buffer could be released
- G-SiB and D-SiB buffers, where applicable
- Other types of buffers applied in some jurisdictions

Limit or temporarily suspend capital distributions: (div. payouts, share buybacks, etc.)
- Preserves capital resources to support economy and absorb losses
- Reduces stigma associated with use of buffers and impact on dividend restrictions
- Precautionary step given the heightened uncertainty and in case of need of potential fiscal support

Regulatory Response to the crisis – Capital (2)

- What if buffers are not available or not sufficient to absorb losses?
  - Bank should submit a credible medium-term capital restoration plan that includes gradual restoration of capital taking into account market conditions and uncertainty
  - Close supervisory monitoring and engagement is needed while ensuring that the problem is only temporary.
  - The above targeted supervisory action should focus on problems caused by the crisis.
  - For banks where the above actions are not feasible or sufficient, additional actions and coordination with other stakeholders may be needed.
Regulatory response to the crisis – Capital (3)

- What about jurisdictions that have not implemented Basel III yet?
  - The targeted supervisory response described earlier is also applicable for these jurisdictions by having banks with compromised capital ratios submit a medium-term capital restoration plan.
  - For Jurisdictions that have **much higher capital requirements than international standards**:
    • Additional flexibility could be used, unless the excess requirements reflect specific institutional weaknesses or systemic vulnerabilities

Regulatory Response to the crisis – Liquidity

- **The LCR is meant to be a buffer** and banks should be allowed to **use it** in case of funding or liquidity pressures.
- For banks with liquidity pressures or falling LCR:
  • **Close supervisory engagement** with bank to discuss the problem and immediate and longer-term measures and solutions
  • **Enhanced supervisory monitoring** should be introduced with focus on short-term liquidity needs and options to address them
  • **Potential measures to restore liquidity levels** should be executed over an extended period of time avoiding additional stress on the bank or the financial system.
- Apply the same approach for banks that are subject to LCR thresholds in significant foreign currencies.
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Overall Supervisory Response to the crisis

- **Issue guidelines** on the flexibility embedded in regulation and on the prudential implications of official measures, but **maintain minimum requirements** in line with international standards

- **Review supervisory priorities and implementation timeline** of new regulation

- Close monitoring and engagement with banks

- Ensure that supervisory responses are **targeted** to crisis issues (and carefully distinguish between issues that are related to pre-existing vulnerabilities)

- Promote **transparency** and clear communication

- Coordinate well to maintain financial stability (globally, regionally and locally)

---
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Recommendations to guide national regulatory and supervisory responses

1. Use embedded flexibility and uphold minimum standards
2. Facilitate public and private support measures
3. Limit moral hazard (targeted and temporary responses)
4. Provide guidance on asset classification and provisioning
5. Maintain transparency

6. Suspend automaticity of triggers for corrective action
7. Review supervisory priorities
8. Coordinate with other relevant domestic and foreign authorities
9. Ensure the functioning of financial market infrastructures
Thank you for your attention!
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